arXiv:1703.07087v1 [math.DG] 21 Mar 2017

EXPANSION OF PINCHED HYPERSURFACES OF THE EUCLIDEAN AND HYPERBOLIC SPACE BY HIGH POWERS OF CURVATURE

HEIKO KRÖNER AND JULIAN SCHEUER

Abstract. We prove convergence results for expanding curvature flows in the Euclidean and hyperbolic space. The flow speeds have the form F^{-p}, where $p > 1$ and F is a positive, strictly monotone, homogeneous of degree 1 and concave curvature function. In particular this class includes the mean curvature $F = H$. We prove that a certain initial pinching condition is preserved and the properly rescaled hypersurfaces converge smoothly to the unit sphere.

1. Introduction

We consider inverse curvature flows in either the Euclidean space $N = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ or the hyperbolic space $N = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ with sectional curvature $K_N = -1$, i.e. a family of embeddings

$$x\colon [0,T) \times M \to N,$$

where M is a closed, connected and orientable manifold of dimension n, which solves

$$\dot{x} = \frac{1}{F^p}\nu, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

Here $\nu = \nu(t,\xi)$ is the outward pointing normal to the flow hypersurface $M_t = x(t,M)$ and F is evaluated at the principal curvatures κ_i at the point $x(t,\xi)$.

Let us first state our main theorem. Therefore we will use the following assumptions for the curvature function F and the initial hypersurface M_0.

1.1. *Assumption.* Let $n \geq 2$ and $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open, convex and symmetric cone containing the positive cone

$$\Gamma_+ = \{(\kappa_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \kappa_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $F \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ be a positive, monotone, symmetric and concave curvature function which is positive homogeneous of degree one and normalized to $F(1,...,1) = n$.

1.2. *Assumption.* Let F satisfy Assumption 1.1. Let

$$x_0 : M \to M_0 \subset N$$

be the smooth embedding with $F_{|M_0} > 0$, which can be written as a graph over a geodesic sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$,

$$M_0 = \{(u(0,x),x); x \in \mathbb{S}^n\}.$$

Date: March 22, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C44.
Key words and phrases. Inverse curvature flow; Pinching.

In the following statement of our main theorem, we let

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_i$$

be the mean curvature, A the Weingarten operator and $\|A\|$ be its norm with respect to the induced metric.

1.3. **Theorem.** *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $N = N^{n+1}$ be either the Euclidean space or the hyperbolic space of constant sectional curvature $K_N = -1$. Let $p > 1$ and let F, M_0 satisfy Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Furthermore, we assume that in case $K_N = 0$, M_0 satisfies the pinching condition*

$$\|A\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}H^2 < c_0 H^2,$$

and in case $K_N = -1$, that M_0 satisfies the pinching condition

$$\|A - I\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}(H-n)^2 < c_0 (H-n)^2, \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 < c_0 = c_0(M_0) < \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$ is sufficiently small. Then:

(i) There exists a unique smooth solution on a maximal time interval

$$x : [0, T^*) \times M \to N,$$

of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} =& \frac{1}{F^p}\nu \\ x(0,\xi) =& x_0(\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $T^* < \infty$ in case $K_N = 0$ and $T^* = \infty$ in case $K_N = -1$, where $\nu = \nu(t,\xi)$ is the outward unit normal to $M_t = x(t, M)$ at $x(t,\xi)$ and F is evaluated at the principal curvatures of M_t at $x(t,\xi)$.

(ii) The flow hypersurfaces M_t can be written as graphs of a function $u = u(t,\cdot)$ over $\mathbb{S}^n$ so that

$$\lim_{t \to T^*} \inf_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(t,\cdot) = \infty$$

and properly rescaled flow hypersurfaces converge for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in $C^m(\mathbb{S}^n)$ to the unit sphere.

(iii) In case $K_N = 0$ there exists a point $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and a sphere $S^* = S_{R^*}(Q)$ around Q with radius R^* such that the spherical solutions S_t with radii R_t of (1.3) with $M_0 = S_{R^*}$ satisfy

$$\operatorname{dist}(M_t, S_t) \leq c R_t^{-\frac{p}{2}} \quad \forall\ t \in [0, T^*),$$

$c = c(p, M_0, F)$. Here dist *denotes the Hausdorff distance of compact sets.*

1.4. *Remark.* (i) The rescalings, mentioned in (ii) of this theorem, are given by

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{u}{t}$$

in case $K_N = -1$ and by

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{u}{\Theta}$$

in case $K_N = 0$, where Θ is the unique radius of a sphere which exists exactly as long as the flow, i.e. for the time T^*.

(ii) A result similar to Theorem 1.3 (iii) can not be deduced in the hyperbolic space, cf. the nice counterexample in [17].

(iii) For flows by high powers of curvature, a pinching condition does not seem unnatural and conditions similar to (1.2) and (1.3) have already appeared for contracting flows in [3] and [26]. However, it is still interesting if these conditions can be replaced by weaker conditions, such as strict convexity for example.

Theorem 1.3 shows the following: If we remove the assumptions $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$ and $F_{|\partial\Gamma} = 0$ in [13, Theorem 1.2] and [23, Theorem 1.2] and replace them by a certain pinching condition for the initial hypersurface then the resulting theorems are true. This allows us e.g. to consider the interesting case $F = H$ and more generally

$$F = H_k^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

where H_k is the k-th elementary symmetric polynomial.

Expanding curvature flows of the form (1.1) with $p = 1$ have attracted a lot of attention, since they have proven to be useful in the deduction and generalization of several geometric inequalities, like the Riemannian Penrose inequality, [16], some Minkowski type inequalities, [4] and [15], and Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities as in [8]. The case $p = 1$ has been treated in broad generality, cf. [9] and [27] for the Euclidean case and [12] for the hyperbolic case. For the case $p \neq 1$ there are fewer results. In the Euclidean case there is [13] and in the hyperbolic case there is [23]. The goal of the present paper is the generalisation of both of these works. The second author already obtained an improvement of [23] in [22], where he could drop the pinching assumption on the initial hypersurface for some powers $p > 1$ of the inverse Gauss curvature flow. But in particular for powers $p > 1$ of the inverse mean curvature flow there are no results, up to the authors' knowledge. In the recent preprint [19] Li, Wang and Wei proved convergence results for the case $p < 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{H}^3$. The novelty in this paper is that they could also treat non-concave curvature functions, since for parabolic equations in two variables one can replace the Krylov-Safonov estimates by a regularity result due to Andrews [1]. Some non-homogeneous flow speeds are considered in [6] and [7].

The paper is organised as follows. In sections 2 and 3 we collect some notation. In section 4 we prove the crucial preservation of a specific pinching of the initial hypersurface, whereafter in sections 5 and 6 we give an outline on how to finish the proof of Theorem 1.3. Here one could basically follow the lines of [13] and [23], but due to the pinching estimates several aspects of the proofs in these references simplify so we present these simplified arguments for convenience.

2. Notation and preliminaries

Let $n \geq 2$ and $N = N^{n+1}$ be either the Euclidean space or the hyperbolic space with constant sectional curvature $K_N = -1$ of dimension $n + 1$. Let $M = M^n$ be a compact, connected, smooth manifold and

$$x \colon M \hookrightarrow N$$

be an embedding with unit outward normal vector field ν, (compare the nice note [21]). Let $g = (g_{ij})$ be the induced metric on M, where g_{ij} are the components of g with respect to the basis $x_i = \partial_i x$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. In tensor expressions latin indices always range between 1 and n and greek indices range from 0 to n while indicating

components of tensors of the ambient space. The components of a covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g of a tensor field $T \in T^{k,l}M$ are indicated by a semi-colon,

$$\nabla^r T = \left(T^{i_1\dots i_k}_{j_1\dots j_l;m_1\dots m_r}\right).$$

The second fundamental form $h = (h_{ij})$ is given by the Gaussian formula

$$x_{;ij} = -h_{ij}\nu$$

and the Weingarten map is denoted by $A = (h^i_j)$.

For any $p \in N$ the pointed Euclidean as well as the hyperbolic space $N\backslash\{p\}$ is diffeomorphic to $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{S}^n$ and is covered by geodesic polar coordinates. The metric is given by

$$\bar g_{\alpha\beta} = dr^2 + \vartheta^2(r)\sigma_{ij} \equiv dr^2 + \bar g_{ij},$$

where r is the geodesic distance to p, σ is the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^n$ and

$$\vartheta(r) = \begin{cases} r, & N = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \\ \sinh r, & N = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

The principal curvatures $\bar\kappa_i$ of the coordinates slices $\{r = \text{const}\}$ are given by

$$\bar\kappa_i = \frac{\vartheta'(r)}{\vartheta(r)}.$$

Since our hypersurfaces will all be convex, they can be written as graphs in geodesic polar coordinates over $\mathbb{S}^n$,

$$M = \{(u(x), x)\colon x \in \mathbb{S}^n\},$$

where u is a smooth function on $\mathbb{S}^n$. Define

$$v = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} = \sqrt{1 + \bar g^{ij}u_{;i}u_{;j}}.$$

In terms of a graph, the second fundamental form of M can be expressed as

$$h_{ij}v^{-1} = -u_{;ij} + \bar h_{ij},$$

cf. [11, Rem. 1.5.1].

Let us also make some comments on the speed functions under which the family of embeddings evolve. By Assumption 1.1 these are given by smooth, symmetric functions F on an open, symmetric and convex cone $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. It is well known, that such a function can be written as a smooth function of the elementary symmetric polynomials s_k,

$$F = \rho(s_1, \dots, s_n),$$

compare [14]. The associated functions to s_k, which are defined on endomorphisms of the tangent space, are traditionally denoted by H_k and given by

$$H_k(A) = \frac{1}{k!}\frac{d}{dt}\det(I + tA)_{|t=0}$$

for all $A \in T^{1,1}(M)$, cf. [11, equ. (2.1.31)]. Hence also F can be viewed as a function defined on the endomorphism bundle $T^{1,1}(M)$, i.e.

$$F = F(A) = F(h^i_j).$$

We will, however, mostly use a different description of F, namely as being defined on two variables (g, h) by setting

$$\mathcal{F}(g,h) = F\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ik}(h_{jk}+h_{kj})\right),$$

where (g^{ik}) is the inverse of the positive definite $(0,2)$-tensor g and $h \in T^{0,2}(M)$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}^{ij}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{ij,kl}$ the first and second derivatives of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to h, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}^{ij} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial h_{ij}}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{ij,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial h_{ij}\partial h_{kl}}.$$

Due to the monotonicity assumption on F as a function of the principal curvatures, the tensor $(\mathcal{F}^{ij})$ is positive definite at all pairs (g,h), such that $(g^{ik}(h_{kj}+h_{jk}))$ has eigenvalues in Γ. Furthermore, due to the concavity assumption, there holds

$$\mathcal{F}^{ij,kl}\eta_{ij}\eta_{kl} \leq 0$$

for all symmetric $\eta \in T^{0,2}(M)$. Compare [2], [11, Ch. 2] and [25] for more details.

We also note that we will in the sequel use the same symbol F for both functions F and $\mathcal{F}$. This will not cause confusion, since in expressions like $F^{ij}h_{ik}h^k_j$ it only makes sense to think of $\mathcal{F}$.

We always assume that $p > 1$, set $\Phi(r) = -r^{-p}$ for $r > 0$ and write

$$\Phi'(r) = \frac{d}{dr}\Phi(r), \quad \dot{\Phi} = \frac{d(\Phi \circ F)}{dt}.$$

3. Evolution equations

The proof of the following evolution equations is given in [11, Lemma 2.3.4], [11, Lemma 3.3.2] and [10, Lemma 5.8].

3.1. **Lemma.** *Under the flow (1.3) the geometric quantities*

$$\Phi = -F^{-p}, \quad \chi = \frac{v}{\vartheta(u)}$$

and u evolve as follows

$$\dot{\Phi} - \Phi' F^{ij}\Phi_{;ij} = \Phi' F^{ij}h_{ik}h^k_j\Phi + K_N\Phi' F^{ij}g_{ij}\Phi,$$

$$\dot{\chi} - \Phi' F^{ij}\chi_{;ij} = -\Phi' F^{ij}h_{ik}h^k_j\chi - 2\chi^{-1}\Phi' F^{ij}\chi_{;i}\chi_{;j} + \{\Phi' F + \Phi\}\frac{\bar{H}}{n}v\chi,$$

and

$$\dot{u} - \Phi' F^{ij}u_{;ij} = v^{-1}(1+p)F^{-p} - \Phi' F^{ij}\bar{h}_{ij},$$

where $\bar{H}$ denotes the mean curvature of the coordinate slice $\{r = u\}$.

3.2. **Lemma.** *Under the flow (1.3) the Weingarten map form evolves by*

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{h}^i_j - \Phi' F^{kl}h^i_{j;kl} = {}& \Phi' F^{kl}h_{rk}h^r_l h^i_j - (\Phi' F - \Phi)h^i_k h^k_j + K_N(\Phi + \Phi' F)\delta^i_j \\ & - K_N\Phi' F^{kl}g_{kl}h^i_j + \Phi^{kl,rs}h_{kl;j}h_{rs;}{}^{i}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For $K_N = -1$ the tensor

$$b^i_j = h^i_j - \delta^i_j$$

evolves by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{b}^i_j - \Phi' F^{kl}b^i_{j;kl} = {}& \Phi' F^{kl}b_{rk}b^r_l b^i_j + \Phi' F^{kl}b_{rk}b^r_l\delta^i_j + 2\Phi b^i_j \\ & - (\Phi' F - \Phi)\, b^i_k b^k_j + \Phi^{kl,rs}b_{kl;j}b_{rs;}{}^{i}\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and hence $B = b^i_i$ *evolves by*

$$\begin{aligned}\dot B - \Phi' F^{kl} B_{kl} = {}& \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b^r_l B + n\Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b^r_l + 2\Phi B \\ & - (\Phi' F - \Phi)\, b^i_k b^k_i + \Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;}{}^{i}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. (3.1) is given in [11, Lemma 2.4.3], hence we only prove (3.2).

$$\begin{aligned}\dot b^i_j - \Phi' F^{kl} b^i_{j;kl} &= \Phi' F^{kl} h_{rk} h^r_l h^i_j - (\Phi' F - \Phi) h^i_k h^k_j - (\Phi + \Phi' F)\delta^i_j \\ &\quad + \Phi' F^{kl} g_{kl} h^i_j + \Phi^{kl,rs} h_{kl;j} h_{rs;}{}^{i} \\ &= \Phi' F^{kl}\left(h_{rk} h^r_l - 2h_{kl} + g_{kl}\right) h^i_j + 2\Phi' F h^i_j \\ &\quad - (\Phi' F - \Phi)\left(h^i_k - \delta^i_k\right)\left(h^k_j - \delta^k_j\right) - 2\left(\Phi' F - \Phi\right)\left(h^i_j - \delta^i_j\right) \\ &\quad - (\Phi' F - \Phi)\,\delta^i_j - (\Phi' F + \Phi)\,\delta^i_j + \Phi^{kl,rs} h_{kl;j} h_{rs;}{}^{i}.\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging gives the result. □

4. The pinching estimates

We define $b_{ij} = h_{ij} + K_N g_{ij}$ where $K_N = -1$ or $K_N = 0$. We set $B = b^i_i$ and $\|b\|^2 = b^i_j b^j_i$ in both cases.

4.1. **Lemma.** *Let* $T^* > 0$ *and let* x *be a solution of* (1.3) *on* $[0, T^*)$. *If* M_0 *satisfies the pinching condition*

$$\|b\|^2 - \frac{1}{n} B^2 < c_0 B^2, \tag{4.1}$$

where $0 < c_0 = c_0(M_0) < \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$ *is sufficiently small, then* (4.1) *remains valid for all* $t \in [0, T^*)$.

Proof. While in the Euclidean case the proof is similar to the proof of [24, Prop. 3.4] we need further arguments in the hyperbolic case. We begin with some facts which hold in both cases. Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{n} + c_0$ and define the quantity

$$z = \|b\|^2 - \gamma B^2.$$

Then, due to Lemma 3.2, z satisfies the evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned}\dot z - \Phi' F^{kl} z_{;kl} = {}& 2\Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b^r_l z - 2(\Phi' F - \Phi)\left(b^i_k b^k_j b^j_i - \gamma B \|b\|^2\right) \\ & + 2\Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;}{}^{j}\left(b^i_j - \gamma B \delta^i_j\right) - 2\Phi' F^{kl}\left(b^i_{j;k} b^j_{i;l} - \gamma B_k B_l\right) \\ & - K_N\left\{4\Phi z - 2nc_0 \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b^r_l B\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and due to [3, Lemma 2.1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} g^{kl}\left(b^i_{j;k} b^j_{i;l} - \frac{1}{n} B_k B_l\right) &= \left\|\nabla\left(A - \frac{1}{n} H\,\mathrm{id}\right)\right\|^2 \geq \frac{2(n-1)}{3n}\|\nabla A\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}\|\nabla H\|^2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}\|\nabla B\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

(i) Let us now assume that $K_N = 0$. In view of (4.1) we have $z(0,\cdot) < 0$. We want to show that $z(t,\cdot) < 0$ for all $0 \leq t < T^*$. For this we assume that there is a

minimal $0 < t < T^*$ and $x \in M_t$ so that $z(t,x) = \sup z(t,\cdot) = 0$. From [3, Lemma 2.3] we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} b^i_k b^k_j b^j_i - \gamma B\|b\|^2 &\geq c_0\gamma\left(1-\sqrt{n(n-1)c_0}\right)B^3 \\ &= c_0\left(1-\sqrt{n(n-1)c_0}\right)\|b\|^2 B > 0 \end{aligned}$$

in (t,x), where we used $0 < F \leq H = B$, cf. [11, Lemma 2.2.20].

Using (4.2) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq &-2c_0(\Phi' F - \Phi)\left(1-\sqrt{n(n-1)c_0}\right)\|b\|^2 B \\ &+ 2B\Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;}{}^{j}\left(B^{-1}b^i_j - \gamma\delta^i_j\right) \\ &- \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}\Phi'\|\nabla B\|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{3n}\Phi'\|\nabla b\|^2 \\ &- 2\Phi'\left(F^{kl}-g^{kl}\right)\left(b^i_{j;k}b^j_{i;l} - \frac{1}{n}B_k B_l\right) \\ &+ 2c_0\Phi'\|\nabla B\|^2 + 2c_0\Phi'\left(F^{kl}-g^{kl}\right)B_k B_l \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

in (t,x). For c_0 sufficiently small, the term

$$\|F^{kl} - g^{kl}\|$$

is small and the terms in the second, fourth and fifth line of (4.4) can be absorbed by the terms in the third line of (4.4). Then the right-hand side of (4.4) is negative, a contradiction. Note, to estimate the term in the second line of (4.4) we used the homogeneity of F,

$$\Phi^{kl,rs} = \Phi' F^{kl,rs} + \Phi'' F^{kl} F^{rs}$$

and

$$c_1 \leq \frac{F}{H} \leq 1$$

in (t,x) where c_1 is a positive constant depending only on c_0. For further details we refer to [3, equ. (4.2), (4.3)].

(ii) We assume that $K_N = -1$. The quantity

$$\tilde{z} = z + \alpha e^{-\Lambda t},$$

where a small $\alpha > 0$ and a large $\Lambda > 0$ will be specified later, satisfies the evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{z}} - \Phi' F^{kl}\tilde{z}_{;kl} =& -\Lambda\alpha e^{-\Lambda t} + \dot{z} - \dot{\Phi}F^{kl}z_{kl} \\ =& -\Lambda\alpha e^{-\Lambda t} + 2\Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b^r_l(\tilde{z} - \alpha e^{-\Lambda t}) \\ &- 2(\Phi' F - \Phi)\left(b^i_k b^k_j b^j_i - \gamma B\|b\|^2\right) \\ &+ 2\Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;}{}^{j}\left(b^i_j - \gamma B\delta^i_j\right) - 2\Phi' F^{kl}\left(b^i_{j;k}b^j_{i;l} - \gamma B_k B_l\right) \\ &- K_N\left\{4\Phi(\tilde{z} - \alpha e^{-\Lambda t}) - 2nc_0\Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b^r_l B\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Assuming that α is small we have $\tilde{z}(0,\cdot) < 0$ in view of (4.1). We want to show that $\tilde{z}(t,\cdot) < 0$ for all $0 \leq t < T^*$. For this we assume that there is a minimal

$0 < t < T^*$ and $x \in M_t$ so that $\tilde{z}(t,x) = \sup \tilde{z}(t,\cdot) = 0$. Due to minimality of t we conclude $B(t',\cdot) > 0$ for all $0 \leq t' \leq t$. Especially,

$$\|b\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}B^2 < c_0 B^2 = c_0(H-n)^2 \leq c_0 H^2$$

and $M_{t'}$ is strictly horospherically convex for all $0 \leq t' \leq t$ due to [3, Lemma 2.2]. We deduce that

$$0 < n < F \quad \text{in } [0,t].$$

M_t is pinched in x with pinching constant

$$\tilde{c}_0 = c_0 - \frac{\alpha e^{-\Lambda t}}{B^2(t,x)},$$

i.e.

$$\|b\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}B^2 = \tilde{c}_0 B^2 \quad \text{in } (t,x).$$

Note, that $0 \leq \tilde{c}_0 < c_0$. From [3, Lemma 2.3] we deduce that

$$b^i_k b^k_j b^j_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} + \tilde{c}_0\right) B\|b\|^2 > 0$$

in (t,x) if $\tilde{c}_0 > 0$. If $\tilde{c}_0 = 0$ then x is umbilical point of M_t, let us write $\kappa = \kappa_i$. Then we have

$$c_0 n^2(\kappa - 1)^2 = c_0 B^2 = \alpha e^{-\Lambda t}$$

and

$$b^i_k b^k_j b^j_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} + c_0\right) B\|b\|^2 = -c_0 n^2(\kappa-1)^3 = -\alpha e^{-\Lambda t}(\kappa - 1)$$

in (t,x). Using (4.5), the maximum principle, (4.3) and the fact that $B(t,x) > 0$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq & -\Lambda\alpha e^{-\Lambda t} - 2\alpha e^{-\Lambda t}\Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b^r_l + I_1 \\ & + 2B\Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;}{}^{j} \left(B^{-1} b^i_j - \gamma \delta^i_j\right) \\ & - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)}\Phi'\|\nabla B\|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{3n}\Phi'\|\nabla b\|^2 \\ & - 2\Phi'\left(F^{kl} - g^{kl}\right)\left(b^i_{j;k} b^j_{i;l} - \frac{1}{n} B_k B_l\right) \\ & + 2c_0\Phi'\|\nabla B\|^2 + 2c_0\Phi'\left(F^{kl} - g^{kl}\right) B_k B_l - 4\alpha\Phi e^{-\Lambda t} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

in (t,x) where

$$I_1 = \begin{cases} 2(c_0 - \tilde{c}_0)(\Phi' F - \Phi) B\|b\|^2 & \text{if } \tilde{c}_0 > 0, \\ 2(\Phi' F - \Phi)\alpha e^{-\Lambda t}(\kappa - 1) & \text{if } \tilde{c}_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

For c_0 sufficiently small, the term

$$\|F^{kl} - g^{kl}\|$$

is small and the terms in the second, fourth and fifth line of (4.6) can be absorbed by the terms in the fourth line of (4.6). Then the right-hand side of (4.6) is negative if Λ is large, a contradiction. □

5. The Euclidean case

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3 for the case $K_N = 0$. Due to the pinching estimates of the previous section we are in the situation that the proof in [13] basically carries over literally. For convenience of the reader, and since several elements of the proof simplify due to our pinching estimates, we give an outline of the involved arguments. Throughout this section it is understood that the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 hold.

We recall some simple observations. If the initial hypersurface M_0 is a sphere of radius $r_0 > 0$, i.e. $u(0,\cdot) = r_0$, then the flow hypersurfaces of the flow (1.3) remain spheres and for their radii $\Theta(t)$ at time t we obtain the ODE

$$\dot\Theta = \frac{\Theta^p}{n^p}, \quad r(0) = r_0, \tag{5.1}$$

with solution

$$\Theta(t) = \left(\frac{1-p}{n^p}t + r_0^{1-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}$$

on $[0, T^*(r_0))$ where

$$T^*(r_0) = \frac{n^p}{p-1} r_0^{1-p}.$$

Hence the spherical flow blows up at time $T^*(r_0)$. The existence of a smooth solution to (1.3) up to a maximal time is well known, cf. [11, Sec. 2.5, Sec. 2.6].

From the avoidance principle we conclude the following corollary.

5.1. **Corollary.** *If r_1, r_2 are positive constants so that*

$$r_1 < |x(0,\cdot)| < r_2$$

where x is the solution of (1.3) then we have

$$\Theta(t, r_1) < |x(t,\cdot)| < \Theta(t, r_2) \quad \forall\ 0 \le t < \min\{T^*, T^*(r_1), T^*(r_2)\}. \tag{5.2}$$

The next aim is to show that $\max|x(t,\cdot)|$ blows up, when the time approaches T^*.

5.2. **Lemma.** *The flow (1.3) only exists in a finite time interval $[0, T^*)$ and there holds*

$$\limsup_{t\to T^*} \max_M |x(t,\cdot)| = \infty.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.1 the hypersurfaces are convex and from (5.2) we deduce that the maximal time T^* has to be finite. Due to the convexity we may write the flow hypersurfaces M_t as radial graphs over the sphere,

$$M_t = \{u(t,x)\colon x \in \mathbb{S}^n\}$$

for some $u \in C^\infty([0,T^*)\times\mathbb{S}^n)$. Then u satisfies the scalar flow equation

$$\dot u = \frac{1}{vF^p}$$

where the dot indicates the total time derivative, or

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{v}{F^p} \tag{5.3}$$

when we consider the partial time derivative, cf. [11, p. 98-99]. Under the assumption that $|x|$ is bounded, which is equivalent to $u \leq c$, we also obtain the $C^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$-estimate

$$v \leq c$$

due to the convexity of M_t and [11, Thm. 2.7.10]. To obtain a $C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$-estimate, we need some curvature estimates. The proof is similar to the one for [13, Lemma 3.10, Lemma. 4.4]: Define the auxiliary function

$$w = \log(-\Phi) + \log \chi + \gamma u,$$

which, due to Lemma 3.1, satisfies the evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w} - \Phi' F^{ij} w_{;ij} = {} & \Phi' F^{ij} (\log(-\Phi))_{;i} (\log(-\Phi))_{;j} - \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \chi)_{;i} (\log \chi)_{;j} \\ & + (\Phi' F + \Phi) \frac{\bar{H}}{n} v + \gamma (\Phi' F - \Phi) v^{-1} - \gamma \Phi' F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

At spatial maxima of w there holds (recall $\chi = \frac{v}{u}$,)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi' F^{ij} (\log(-\Phi))_{;i} (\log(-\Phi))_{;j} - \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \chi)_{;i} (\log \chi)_{;j} \\ = {} & 2\gamma \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \chi)_{;i} u_{;j} + \gamma^2 \Phi' F^{ij} u_{;i} u_{;j} \\ = {} & -2\gamma v \Phi' F^{ij} h_i^k u_{;k} u_{;j} + \gamma^2 \Phi' F^{ij} u_{;i} u_{;j}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where we used

$$v_{;i} = -v^2 h_i^k u_{;k} + v \frac{\bar{H}}{n} u_{;i},$$

cf. [10, equ. (5.29)]. Since

$$F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij} = \frac{\bar{H}}{n} F^{ij} \bar{g}_{ij} \geq c F^{ij} g_{ij} \geq cn,$$

due to the concavity of F (cf. [11, Lemma 2.2.19]), we have

$$\gamma^2 \Phi' F^{ij} u_{;i} u_{;j} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \Phi' F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij} \leq \gamma \Phi' F^{ij} \left(\gamma u_{;i} u_{;j} - \frac{c}{2} g_{ij} \right) < 0$$

for small γ and the other half of the term $F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij}$ can be used to absorb the other positive terms in (5.4), since the negatively signed term has the highest order in $\frac{1}{F}$. We obtain that w is a priori bounded. It is immediate from Lemma 3.1 and the maximum principle that F is bounded as well. Due to the pinching estimates Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\kappa_1 \geq c \kappa_n,$$

also compare [3, Lemma 2.2]. Hence κ_n must be bounded and $\kappa_1 \geq c > 0$ as long as u is bounded. Thus as long as the flow ranges in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we have uniform $C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$-estimates, a uniformly elliptic operator F^{ij} and we may apply the regularity estimates due to Krylov and Safonov, [18, Par. 5.5], to obtain $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^n)$-estimates, which in turn yield higher order estimates by Schauder theory. Hence at the maximal time of existence u must blow up. □

Let $r_0 > 0$ be so that $T^*(r_0) = T^*$ then for all $0 \leq t < T^*$ there is a $\xi_t \in \mathbb{S}^n$ such that

$$u(t, \xi_t) = \Theta(t, r_0)$$

in view of Corollary 5.1. The spherical flow with existence time $T^*(r_0)$ provides the correct scaling factor. In particular, applying the evolution equation for the support function $\bar{u} = \chi^{-1}$, as deduced in [27, Section 2] and the oscillation estimates from

[5, Theorem 3.1], also compare [20, Theorem 3.1], we conclude that the following lemma holds for

$$\tilde{u} = u\Theta^{-1}.$$

5.3. **Lemma.** *Let u be the solution of the scalar flow equation (5.3). Then there exists a positive constant c such that*

$$u(t,x) - c \leq \Theta(t, r_0) \leq u(t,x) + c \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^n,$$

hence

$$\lim_{t \to T^*} \tilde{u}(t,x) = 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

We also have

$$v - 1 \leq c\Theta^{-1}.$$

Proof. Literally as in [13, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.7]. □

We need the following bounds for the rescaled principal curvatures.

5.4. **Lemma.** *Under the flow (1.3), the rescaled principal curvatures $\tilde{\kappa}_i = \Theta\kappa_i$ satisfy*

$$0 < c_1 \leq \tilde{\kappa}_i \leq c_2$$

for suitable constants $c_i = c_i(M_0)$.

Proof. Due to the pinching estimates, as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 it suffices to prove the existence of constants c_i such that

$$0 < c_1 \leq \Theta F = \tilde{F} = F(\tilde{h}^i_j) \leq c_2.$$

We begin with the upper bound. For $\lambda > 0$ define the function

$$z = \log \tilde{F}^p + \lambda \tilde{u} \equiv -\log(-\tilde{\Phi}) + \lambda \tilde{u}.$$

Due to Lemma 3.1 and (5.1), z satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{z} - \Phi' F^{ij} z_{;ij} \leq & -\Phi' F^{ij} h_{ik} h^k_j + \frac{p}{n^p}\Theta^{p-1} + \lambda v^{-1}(p+1)\tilde{F}^{-p}\Theta^{p-1} \\
& - \lambda p \tilde{F}^{-(p+1)} F^{ij} \bar{g}_{ij} \tilde{u}^{-1} \Theta^{p-1} - \lambda n^{-p} \tilde{u} \Theta^{p-1} \\
\leq & \frac{\Theta^{p-1}}{n^p}\left(p - \frac{\lambda}{2}\tilde{u}\right) + \lambda \Theta^{p-1}\left(v^{-1}(p+1)\tilde{F}^{-p} - \frac{n^{-p}}{2}\tilde{u}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is negative if λ and $\tilde{F}$ are large enough, due to Lemma 5.3.

To prove the lower bound we proceed as in [13, Lemma 3.10]. We consider the function

$$w = \log(-\tilde{\Phi}) + \log \tilde{\chi} + \tilde{u},$$

where $\tilde{\chi} = \Theta\chi$. Let $0 < T < T^*$ be arbitrary and assume that $\sup_{Q_T} w$, where

$$Q_T = [0,T] \times \mathbb{S}^n,$$

is attained in (t_0, x_0) with large $t_0 > 0$. The maximum principle implies in (t_0, x_0)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \Phi' F^{ij} (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_i (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_j - \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \tilde{\chi})_i (\log \tilde{\chi})_j \\
& + c\tilde{F}^{-p}\Theta^{p-1} - p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)} F^{ij} \bar{g}_{ij} \tilde{u}^{-1} \Theta^{p-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where we assume w.l.o.g. that $\tilde{F}$ is small. The fourth term on the right-hand side of (5.6) is dominating the third term. From $w_i = 0$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi' F^{ij}(\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_i(\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_j - \Phi' F^{ij}(\log\tilde{\chi})_i(\log\tilde{\chi})_j\\ &= \Phi' F^{ij}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_j + 2\Phi' F^{ij}(\log\tilde{\chi})_i\tilde{u}_j.\end{aligned}$$

Here the first term on the right-hand side is of order $\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}$ but $\|Du\|$ vanishes if t tends to T^* while the second term is nonpositive for the same reason as in (5.5). Hence w is a priori bounded from above. □

The proofs of Theorem 1.3 (i) and Theorem 1.3 (ii) in the Euclidean case can be completed literally as in [13, Lemma 5.1].

The proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii) follows exactly the argumentation in [23] by using Theorem 1.3 (i) and Theorem 1.3 (ii) instead of [13] as it is used in [23].

6. The hyperbolic case

Due to the pinching estimates in the hyperbolic case, [3, Lemma 2.2] implies that the flow hypersurfaces remain strictly horospherically convex. Hence several aspects of the proofs in [23] simplify. We can easily prove the following lemma with the help of a shortcut compared to [23, Thm. 4.4].

6.1. **Theorem.** *The flow (1.1) exists for all times, remains strictly horospherically convex and the principal curvatures of the flow hypersurfaces of (1.1) converge to* 1 *exponentially fast,*

$$|\kappa_i - 1| \leq ce^{-\frac{2}{n^p}t} \quad \forall 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

where $c = c(n, p, M_0)$.

Proof. From the evolution of the flow speed

$$-\Phi = \frac{1}{F^p},$$

$$\dot{\Phi} - \Phi' F^{ij}\Phi_{;ij} = \Phi' F^{ij}\left(h_{ik}h^k_j - g_{ij}\right)\Phi, \tag{6.1}$$

cf. Lemma 3.1, we obtain that $\max F$ is strictly decreasing. Hence by the pinching estimates all principal curvature are bounded and due to the convexity of the flow hypersurfaces we also have uniform gradient estimates using [11, Thm. 2.7.10]. Since in finite time the flow remains in a compact subset of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, we immediately obtain the long time existence due to the regularity estimates of Krylov and Safonov, [18, Sec. 5.5], compare the detailed argument in [23, Thm. 3.12]. We can estimate (6.1):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(-\Phi) - \Phi' F^{ij}(-\Phi)_{;ij} &= \Phi' F^{ij}\left(h_{ik}h^k_j - g_{ij}\right)(-\Phi)\\ &\geq c\left(\frac{1}{n}H^2 - n\right)\\ &\geq c(F^2 - n^2).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\max F$ must converge to n, for otherwise $\min F^{-p}$ would converge to infinity, which is impossible. But this implies $\max\kappa_1 \to 1$ and due to the pinching estimates Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\max\kappa_n \to 1.$$

Set

$$w = Be^{\frac{1}{n^p}t} = (H-n)e^{\frac{1}{n^p}t}.$$

From (3.3) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{w} - \Phi' F^{kl} w_{kl} &= \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r w + n\Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r e^{\frac{1}{n^p}t} + 2\Phi w \\ &\quad - (\Phi' F - \Phi)\, b_k^i b_i^k e^{\frac{1}{n^p}t} + \Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;}{}^i e^{\frac{1}{n^p}t} + \frac{1}{n^p} w \\ &< 0\end{aligned}$$

for large t, since

$$F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r \leq c\|b\|^2 \leq cB^2,$$

so that the negative term $2\Phi w$ dominates all others and the term involving $b_{kl;i}$ is negative due to the concavity of Φ. In the second step set

$$\tilde{w} = Be^{\frac{2}{n^p}t}$$

and deduce

$$\dot{\tilde{w}} - \Phi' F^{kl} \tilde{w}_{;kl} \leq ce^{-\mu t} + ce^{-\mu t}\tilde{w}$$

for some suitable $\mu > 0$. The result follows from the maximum principle. □

In order to show that also the gradient of the graph functions u converges to zero exponentially fast, we use the conformally flat parametrization of the hyperbolic space.

6.2. **Lemma.** *Let the M_t be expressed as graphs in geodesic polar coordinates,*

$$M_t = \operatorname{graph}_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(t,\cdot),$$

then the quantity

$$v = \sqrt{1 + \bar{g}^{ij} u_i u_j}$$

satisfies

$$|Du|^2 = v^2 - 1 \leq ce^{-\frac{2}{n^p}t}.$$

Proof. Defining the radial coordinate ρ by

$$r = \log(2+\rho) - \log(2-\rho),$$

the hyperbolic space can be parametrised over the ball $B_2(0)$ to yield

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\rho^2\right)^2}\left(d\rho^2 + \rho^2 \sigma_{ij} dx^i dx^j\right) = e^{2\psi}\left(d\rho^2 + \rho^2 \sigma_{ij} dx^i dx^j\right).$$

Viewing the flow hypersurfaces $M_t \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ as hypersurfaces $\tilde{M}_t \subset B_2(0)$ of the Euclidean space, the second fundamental forms are related by

$$e^{\psi} h_j^i = \tilde{h}_j^i + \psi_\beta \tilde{\nu}^\beta \delta_j^i, \tag{6.2}$$

cf. [11, Prop. 1.1.11]. Hence

$$\|\tilde{A}\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}\tilde{H}^2 = e^{2\psi}\left(\|A\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}H^2\right) \leq ce^{-\frac{2}{n^p}t},$$

due to Theorem 6.1 and since

$$e^{\psi} = \frac{4}{(2+\tilde{u})(2-\tilde{u})} \leq ce^{\frac{t}{n^p}},$$

where we also used the existence of a constant c such that

$$-c < u - \frac{t}{n^p} < c,$$

cf. [23, Cor. 3.3, Lemma 3.5]. Hence the conformal hypersurfaces $\tilde{M}_t$ become eventually strictly convex (also note $\tilde{H} > 0$ using (6.2)) and they converge uniformly to $\partial B_2(0)$. Using

$$\tilde{v} \leq ce^{\bar{\kappa}\cdot \mathrm{osc}(\tilde{u})}$$

again, [11, Thm. 2.7.10], we obtain $\tilde{v} \to 1$. But

$$|D\tilde{u}|^2 = \tilde{u}^{-2}\sigma^{ij}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_j = |Du|^2,$$

as a simple calculation reveals, and hence $v \to 1$ for the M_t. From the proof of [23, Thm. 4.1] and especially equ. (4.6) in this proof we obtain

$$v - 1 \leq ce^{-\lambda t}$$

for suitable $\lambda > 0$. Furthermore, using the proof of [23, Thm. 4.5] literally, we obtain the claim. □

The proof of Theorem 1.3 can now be finished as in [23]. Let us shortly sketch the strategy. Define a rescaling of u,

$$\varphi = \int_{r_0}^{u} \frac{1}{\vartheta(s)}\, ds,$$

where $r_0 < \inf_M u(0,\cdot)$ and $\vartheta = \sinh$. There holds

$$|Du|^2 = \sigma^{ij}\varphi_i\varphi_j = |D\varphi|^2 \leq ce^{-\frac{2}{n^p}t}.$$

Due to

$$h^i_j = v^{-1}\vartheta^{-1}\left(\vartheta'\delta^i_j - \left(\sigma^{ik} - v^{-2}\varphi^i\varphi^k\right)\varphi_{kj}\right),$$

where index raising and covariant differentiation are performed with respect to σ_{ij}, cf. [12, (3.26)], we also obtain

$$|D^2\varphi| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{n^p}},$$

also compare [23, Thm. 4.6]. Now Sections 5 and 6 of [23] finish the proof verbatim, where Section 5 gives higher order estimates of the form

$$|D^m\varphi| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{n^p}}$$

by differentiating the equation satisfied by $D\varphi$ and Section 6 relates this back to the original function u and its rescaled versions.

References

1. Ben Andrews, *Fully nonlinear parabolic equations in two space variables*, arxiv:0402235, 2004.
2. ———, *Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **608** (2007), 17–33.
3. Ben Andrews and James McCoy, *Convex hypersurfaces with pinched principal curvatures and flow of convex hypersurfaces by high powers of curvature*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 7, 3427–3447.
4. Simon Brendle, Pei-Ken Hung, and Mu Tao Wang, *A Minkowski inequality for hypersurfaces in the anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), no. 1, 124–144.
5. Bennett Chow and Robert Gulliver, *Aleksandrov reflection and nonlinear evolution equations, I: The n-sphere and n-ball*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **4** (1996), no. 3, 249–264.

6. Bennett Chow and Dong-Ho Tsai, *Expansion of convex hypersurfaces by nonhomogeneous functions of curvature*, Asian J. Math. **1** (1997), no. 4, 769–784.
7. ———, *Nonhomogeneous Gauss curvature flows*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47** (1998), no. 3, 965–994.
8. Yuxin Ge, Guofang Wang, and Jie Wu, *Hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities 2*, J. Differ. Geom. **98** (2014), no. 2, 237–260.
9. Claus Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differ. Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 299–314.
10. ———, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in space forms*, Geometric analysis and the calculus of variations (Jürgen Jost, ed.), International Press of Boston Inc., 1996, pp. 71–98.
11. ———, *Curvature problems*, Series in Geometry and Topology, vol. 39, International Press of Boston Inc., Sommerville, 2006.
12. ———, *Inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, J. Differ. Geom. **89** (2011), no. 3, 487–527.
13. ———, *Non-scale-invariant inverse curvature flows in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **49** (2014), no. 1-2, 471–489.
14. Georges Glaeser, *Fonctions composées différentiables*, Ann. Math. **77** (1963), no. 1, 193–209.
15. Pengfei Guan and Junfang Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k-convex starshaped domains*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 5, 1725–1732.
16. Gerhard Huisken and Tom Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differ. Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437.
17. Pei-Ken Hung and Mu Tao Wang, *Inverse mean curvature flows in the hyperbolic 3-space revisited*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **54** (2015), no. 1, 119–126.
18. Nicolai Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*, Mathematics and its applications, vol. 7, Springer, 1987.
19. Haizhong Li, Xianfeng Wang, and Yong Wei, *Surfaces expanding by non-concave curvature functions*, arxiv:1609.00570, 2016.
20. James McCoy, *The surface area preserving mean curvature flow*, Asian J. Math. **7** (2003), no. 1, 7–30.
21. Hans Samelson, *Orientability of hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), no. 1, 301–302.
22. Julian Scheuer, *Gradient estimates for inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, Geom. Flows **1** (2015), no. 1, 11–16.
23. ———, *Non-scale-invariant inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **53** (2015), no. 1, 91–123.
24. ———, *Pinching and asymptotical roundness for inverse curvature flows in Euclidean space*, J. Geom. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 3, 2265–2281.
25. ———, *Isotropic functions revisited*, preprint, arxiv:1703.03321, 2017.
26. Felix Schulze, *Convexity estimates for flows by powers of the mean curvature*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **V** (2006), no. 2, 261–277.
27. John Urbas, *An expansion of convex hypersurfaces*, J. Differ. Geom. **33** (1991), no. 1, 91–125.

Universität Hamburg, Fachbereich Mathematik, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

E-mail address: heiko.kroener@uni-hamburg.de

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Mathematisches Institut, Eckerstr. 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

E-mail address: julian.scheuer@math.uni-freiburg.de